## Version 2.0 - Final

**TXRAM STREAM DATA SHEET** 

Project/Site Name/No	.:	Projec	ct Type: 🗌	Fill/Impact ([	Linear	Non-line	ar) 🗌 Mitigatio	on/Conservation
Stream ID/Name:		SAR No.:	Size (L	_F):	Date:		Evaluator(s): _	
Stream Type:		Ecoregion:			Delineat	ion Perform	ed: 🗌 Previou	sly
8-Digit HUC:		Watershed Condition	(developed	d, pasture, etc	c.):		Watershed Siz	e:
Aerial Photo Date and	I Source:		ຮ	Site Photos: _			Representative	: 🗌 Yes 🔲 No
Stressor(s):		Are normal cl	imatic/hydr	ologic conditi	ons prese	nt? 🗌 Yes	🗌 No (If no, e	xplain in Notes)
Stream Characterist	ics							
Stream Width (Feet)	(Bank to Bank	Distance Used for Buffer C	alculation)	Stream Heig	ght/Depth	(Feet)		
Avg. Bank to Bank				Avg. Bank	IS:			
Avg. Waters Edge:				Avg. Wate	er:			
Avg. OHWM:				Avg. OHW	/M:			
Notes:								

## CHANNEL CONDITION Floodplain Connectivity



Version 2.0 - Final

Stream ID/Name: \_\_\_\_ SAR No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Score:

Bank Condition				
Left Bank Active Erosion:	_% Right Bank Active Erosion:	% Average:		
Bank Protection/Stabilization: 🗌 Natural	Artificial:			
			Score:	_
Sediment Deposition				
Bank Protection/Stabilization: Natural Sediment Deposition	Artificial:		Score:	

Less than 10% of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; bars with established vegetation (5)

 $\Box$  10–20% of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; few established bars with indicators of recently deposited sediments (4)

□ 20–30% of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; some deposition on old bars and creating new bars; some sediment deposits at in-stream structures; OR obstructed view of the channel bottom and a lack of other depositional features (3)

□ 30–50% of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; some newly created bars; moderate sediment deposits at instream structures (2)

Greater than 50% of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition resulting in aggrading channel (1)

## **RIPARIAN BUFFER CONDITION**

Riparian Buffer - See Table 26 to determine appropriate buffer distance. Confirm in office review. Identify each buffer type and score using the primary or secondary buffer method of evaluation (see sections 3.3.2.1.2 and 3.3.2.1.4).

	Primary Buffer	Canopy Cover	Vegetation	Land Use	Score	Percentage of	Subtotal						
	Type		Community			Area							
	2												
	2.												
	3. 4												
	4. 5												
¥	5.		l eft Bank Prima	rv Buffer Subtota	: X 0.7 = l e	eft Bank Primary F	Buffer Total						
Bar	Secondary	Canopy Cover	Long		\$coro	Percentage of	Subtotal						
eft	Buffer Type		Lanc	1036	300/8	Area	Subiolai						
_	1.												
	2.												
	3.												
	4.												
	5.												
	Left Bank Secondary Buffer Subtotal: X 0.3 = Left Bank Secondary Buffet Total												
	Left B	ank Primary Buffe	<sup>-</sup> Total + Left Bank	Secondary Buffer	Total = Composite	te Buffer Left Bank Metric Score							
	Primary Buffer Type	Canopy Cover	Vegetation Community	Land Use	Score	Percentage of Area	Subtotal						
	1.												
	2.												
	3.												
	4.												
¥	5.												
Ban		Ri	ght Bank Primary	y Buffer Subtotal: X 0.7 = Right Bank Primary Buffer Tota									
۲ ۲	Secondary Buffer Type	Canopy Cover	Land	l Use	Score	Percentage of Area	Buffer Total Subtotal						
Rig	1.					7.100							
	2.												
	3.												
	4.												
	5.												
	I	Right Bank Secondary Buffer Subtotal: X 0.3 = Right Bank Secondary Buffer Total											
	Right Bank	Primary Buffer To	otal + Right Bank S	econdary Buffer T	otal = Composite I	Buffer Right Bank	Metric Score						

Stream ID/Name: S	SAR N	lo.:
-------------------	-------	------

## **IN-STREAM CONDITION**

Substrate Composition	i (estimate p	ercentag	ges)												
Boulder:	Gravel:		F	ines (silt,	clay, mu	ck):	Ar	Artificial:			Larg	Large Woody Debris/Leaf			
Cobble:	Sand:		E	Bedrock (smooth):				Bedrock (fractured):				KS:			
Default score due to	excessive	suspen	ded s	ediment		Defa	ult sco	re due t	o depth	ם ו		:	Score:		
In-stream Habitat (cheo	ck all habita	t types th	at are	present a	nd chec	k box fo	r approp	oriate pe	rcent co	ver at e	ach trans	sect)			
Habitat Types by Pres Cover	sence and	T1	T2	T3	T4	<i>T</i> 5	T6	T7	T8	<i>T</i> 9	T10	T11	T12	T13	
Undercut Banks															
Overhanging Vegetatio	'n														
Rootmats															
Rootwads															
Woody Debris/Leaf Pac	cks														
Boulders/Cobbles															
Aquatic Macrophytes															
Bedrock with Interstitial	Space														
Artificial Habitat Enhand	cement														
Other:															
Number Present								1						†	
Percent Cover in Stre	ams	T1	T2	T3	T4	<i>T</i> 5	T6	T7	T8	Т9	T10	T11	T12	T13	
OHWM Width ≤ 15' Transect has 0% cover	· (0)														
Transect has 1-5% cov	(er (1)														
Transect has 6-29% co	ver (2)	-													
Transect has 30-50% c	over (3)														
Transect has > 50% co	ver (4)														
Percent Cover Score															
Percent Cover in Stre	ams	T1	TO	TO	TA	TE	те	77	то	то	T10	T11	T10	T12	
OHWM Width > than 1	15'	11	12	13	14	15	10	17	10	19	110	111	112	113	
Transect has 0% cover	· (0)														
Transect has 1-5% cov	er (1)														
I ransect has 6-14% co	over (2)														
I ransect has 15-30% c	cover (3)														
Transect has > 30% co	over (4)														
Percent Cover Score															
Habitat Types by Pres	sence	T1	T2	Т3	T4	<i>T5</i>	<i>T6</i>	77	<i>T8</i>	T9	T10	T11	T12	T13	
Riffle/Pool Sequence	-														
Canopy Cover 70% or	Greater														
Natural Step-pools															
Number Present															
Total Score															
HYDROLOGIC CONE Flow Regime	DITION									Av	erage: _	:	Score:		
Noticeable surfac	e flow pres	ent (4)				🗌 Iso	lated po	ools and	l no evic	lence o	f surface	e or inte	rstitial fl	ow (1)	
Continual pool of	water but la	acking n	oticeat	ble flow (	3)	🗌 Dry	/ chann	el and n	o obser	vable p	ools or i	nterstitia	al flow (	0)	
Isolated pools and	d interstitial	(subsur	face) f	low (2)		Artifici	al / alte	red wate	er sourc	e 🗌 No	) 🗌 Ye	S:			
<u> </u>		·	,	. ,									Score:		
Channel Flow Status	5														
Water covering g	reater than	75% of 1	the cha	annel bot	tom wid	th; less	than 25	% of ch	annel si	ubstrate	is expo	sed (4)			
Water covering 5	0–75% of th	ne chanr	nel bott	tom width	n; 25–50	% of ch	annel s	ubstrate	e is expo	osed (3)					
Water covering 2	5–50% of th	ne chanr	nel bott	tom width	n; 50–75	% of ch	annel s	ubstrate	e is expo	osed (2)					
UWater present bu	t covering l	ess than	25% (	of the cha	annel bo	ottom wi	dth; gre	ater tha	n 75% c	of chanr	nel subst	trate is e	exposed	J (1)	
No water present	in the char	nel; 100	% of c	hannel s	ubstrate	expose	ed (0)								
•						•	. ,								

Version 2.0 - Final