Project/Site Name/No.: $\qquad$ Project Type:Fill/Impact ( $\square$ LinearNon-linear)Mitigation/Conservation Stream ID/Name: $\qquad$ SAR No.: $\qquad$ Size (LF) $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$ Evaluator(s): $\qquad$
Stream Type: $\qquad$ Ecoregion: $\qquad$ Delineation Performed: $\square$ PreviouslyCurrently

8-Digit HUC: $\qquad$ Watershed Condition (developed, pasture, etc.): $\qquad$ Watershed Size: $\qquad$
Aerial Photo Date and Source: $\qquad$ Site Photos: $\qquad$ Representative: $\square$ Yes $\qquad$ No

Stressor(s): $\qquad$ Are normal climatic/hydrologic conditions present? $\square$YesNo (If no, explain in Notes)
Stream Characteristics

| Stream Width (Feet) (Bank to Bank Distance Used for Buffer Calculation) | Stream Height/Depth (Feet) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Avg. Bank to Bank | Avg. Banks: |
| Avg. Waters Edge: | Avg. Water: |
| Avg. OHWM: | Avg. OHWM: |
| N. |  |

Notes:

## CHANNEL CONDITION <br> Floodplain Connectivity



Stream ID/Name: $\qquad$ SAR No.: $\qquad$

## Bank Condition

Left Bank Active Erosion: $\qquad$ \% Right Bank Active Erosion: $\qquad$ \% Average: $\qquad$
Bank Protection/Stabilization: $\qquad$ Natural $\qquad$ Artificial: $\qquad$
Score:

## Sediment Deposition

Less than $10 \%$ of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; bars with established vegetation (5)$\square 1$ $10-20 \%$ of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; few established bars with indicators of recently deposited sediments (4)
$\square 20-30 \%$ of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; some deposition on old bars and creating new bars; some sediment deposits at in-stream structures; OR obstructed view of the channel bottom and a lack of other depositional features (3)
$\square 30-50 \%$ of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition; some newly created bars; moderate sediment deposits at instream structures (2)
$\square$ Greater than $50 \%$ of the bottom covered by excessive sediment deposition resulting in aggrading channel (1)
Score:

## RIPARIAN BUFFER CONDITION

Riparian Buffer - See Table 26 to determine appropriate buffer distance. Confirm in office review.
Identify each buffer type and score using the primary or secondary buffer method of evaluation (see sections 3.3.2.1.2 and 3.3.2.1.4).

$\qquad$ SAR No.: $\qquad$

## IN-STREAM CONDITION

Substrate Composition (estimate percentages)

| Boulder: | Gravel: | Fines (silt, clay, muck): | Artificial: | Large Woody Debris/Leaf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cobble: | Sand: | Bedrock (smooth): | Bedrock (fractured): | Packs: |

Default score due to excessive suspended sediment $\square$ Default score due to depth $\qquad$ Score: $\qquad$

| Habitat Types by Presence and Cover | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | $T 7$ | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Undercut Banks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overhanging Vegetation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rootmats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rootwads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woody Debris/Leaf Packs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Boulders/Cobbles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatic Macrophytes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bedrock with Interstitial Space |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Artificial Habitat Enhancement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Cover in Streams OHWM Width $\leq 15$ * | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 |
| Transect has 0\% cover (0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 1-5\% cover (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 6-29\% cover (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 30-50\% cover (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has > 50\% cover (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Cover Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Cover in Streams OHWM Width > than 15' | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 |
| Transect has 0\% cover (0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 1-5\% cover (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 6-14\% cover (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has 15-30\% cover (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transect has > 30\% cover (4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Cover Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Habitat Types by Presence | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 | T10 | T11 | T12 | T13 |
| Riffle/Pool Sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canopy Cover 70\% or Greater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Step-pools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## HYDROLOGIC CONDITION

## Flow Regime

| $\square$ Noticeable surface flow present (4) | $\square$ Isolated pools and no evidence of surface or interstitial flow (1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Continual pool of water but lacking noticeable flow (3) | $\square$ Dry channel and no observable pools or interstitial flow (0) |
| $\square$ Isolated pools and interstitial (subsurface) flow (2) | Artificial / altered water source $\square$ No $\square$ Yes: |

Score:

## Channel Flow Status

$\square$ Water covering greater than $75 \%$ of the channel bottom width; less than $25 \%$ of channel substrate is exposed (4)Water covering $50-75 \%$ of the channel bottom width; 25-50\% of channel substrate is exposed (3)Water covering $25-50 \%$ of the channel bottom width; $50-75 \%$ of channel substrate is exposed (2)
$\square$ Water present but covering less than $25 \%$ of the channel bottom width; greater than $75 \%$ of channel substrate is exposed (1)No water present in the channel; 100\% of channel substrate exposed (0)
$\qquad$

