



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SWF DISTRICT
819 TAYLOR ST ROOM 3A37
FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76102

CESWF-RDE

21 MAY 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023),¹ SWF-2022-00402.

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.² AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.³ For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁴ the Clean Water Act (CWA) implementing regulations published by the Department of the Army in 1986 and amended in 1993 (references 2.a. and 2.b. respectively), the 2008 *Rapanos-Carabell* guidance (reference 2.c.), and other applicable guidance, relevant case law and longstanding practice, (collectively the pre-2015 regulatory regime), and the *Sackett* decision (reference 2.d.) in evaluating jurisdiction.

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. The features addressed in this AJD were evaluated consistent with the definition of “waters of the United States” found in the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett*. This AJD did not rely on the 2023 “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” as amended on 8 September 2023 (Amended 2023 Rule) because, as of the date of this decision, the Amended 2023 Rule is not applicable in Texas due to litigation.

¹ While the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett* had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² 33 CFR 331.2.

³ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CESWF-RDE

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWF-2022-00402

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

- a. The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters such as streams, rivers, wetlands, lakes, ponds, tidal waters, ditches, and the like in the entire review area and there are no areas that have previously been determined to be jurisdictional under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 in the review area). There are no aquatic features present on the site.

2. REFERENCES.

- a. Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, 51 FR 41206 (November 13, 1986).
- b. Clean Water Act Regulatory Programs, 58 FR 45008 (August 25, 1993).
- c. U.S. EPA & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in *Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (December 2, 2008)
- d. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. 651, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

3. REVIEW AREA. The Project Area consists of approximately 10 acres of shrub/scrub with neighboring developed open and low intensity land. All 10 acres of land are within the Balcones Canyonlands (Level IV Ecoregion 30c) of the Edwards Plateau Ecoregion. The site has undulating topography. NWI maps did not show any features mapped. The NHD database did not have any watercourses or waterbodies on the site. FEMA data for Kendall County, Texas and the project area is in an area of minimal flood hazard. NRCS databases do not show any hydric soils mapped for the site. The date of the site visit was within the APT "wetter than normal" period for 90 days prior to site visit on April 2, 2025. There were no wetlands, open water features or watercourses found on the project site during field reconnaissance. This site is entirely upland.

- a. Coordinates: 29.983106, -98.886428

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS N/A

CESWF-RDE

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWF-2022-00402

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁵: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁶ N/A

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the pre-2015 regulatory regime. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. TNWs (a)(1): N/A
 - b. Interstate Waters (a)(2): N/A
 - c. Other Waters (a)(3): N/A
 - d. Impoundments (a)(4): N/A
 - e. Tributaries (a)(5): N/A
 - f. The territorial seas (a)(6): N/A
 - g. Adjacent wetlands (a)(7): N/A

⁵ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁶ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified as “generally non-jurisdictional” in the preamble to the 1986 regulations (referred to as “preamble waters”).⁷ Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA as a preamble water. N/A
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area identified as “generally not jurisdictional” in the *Rapanos* guidance. Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA based on the criteria listed in the guidance. N/A
- c. Describe aquatic resources and features identified within the review area as waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA. Include the size of the waste treatment system within the review area and describe how it was determined to be a waste treatment system. N/A
- d. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area determined to be prior converted cropland in accordance with the 1993 regulations (reference 2.b.). Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be prior converted cropland. N/A
- e. Describe aquatic resources (i.e. lakes and ponds) within the review area, which do not have a nexus to interstate or foreign commerce, and prior to the January 2001 Supreme Court decision in “*SWANCC*,” would have been jurisdictional based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule.” Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature, and how it was determined to be an “isolated water” in accordance with *SWANCC*. N/A
- f. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the pre-2015 regulatory regime consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett* (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water). N/A

⁷ 51 FR 41217, November 13, 1986.

CESWF-RDE

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), SWF-2022-00402

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.
 - a. Consultant's site visit was on April 2, 2025. USACE in-office review was on May 20, 2025.
 - e. Google Earth, accessed May 20, 2025
 - f. National Regulatory Mapper, topographic, NWI, NHD, FEMA, aerial, Hillshade layers accessed May 20, 2025.
 - g. No Wetland Delineation Report, Flat Rock BESS Project, Kendall County, Texas, April 24, 2025, Prepared for Flat Rock Energy Center, LLC, prepared by Westwood
10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A
11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.







Flat Rock BESS Project


Kendall County, Texas

Water Resources

Exhibit 2

Legend

-  Project Area
-  NWI Wetland
-  NHD Waterbody
-  NHD Flowline
-  Road
- FEMA Flood Zone**
-  100-Year Floodplain



Data Source(s): Westwood (2025); NHD (2024); NWI (2024); U.S. Census Bureau (2024); FEMA (2024); NAIP (2024).



Map Document: N:\0057789_000_GIS_ArcPro\0057789_040_WetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404.aprx 4/9/2025 11:52 AM LTillman

Flat Rock BESS Project

Kendall County, Texas

Soils

Exhibit 3

Legend

- Project Area
- Soil Unit Boundary
- Road



Flat Rock Creek Rd

Data Source(s): Westwood (2025); NRCS (Accessed 2025); U.S. Census Bureau (2024); NAIP (2024).

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Percent Hydric Classification	Hydric Classification
18	Tarpley clay, 1 to 3 percent slopes	0	Non-Hydric
8	Doss silty clay, moist, 1 to 5 percent slopes	0	Non-Hydric



Map Document: N:\0052789_00\GIS_AcePro\00057789_040_WetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404\00057789_040_WetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404.aprx 4/7/2025 1:59 PM LTI\lmm

Flat Rock BESS Project

Kendall County, Texas


Field Delineated Features

Exhibit 4

Legend

 Project Area

 Road

 Non-Watercourse Photo Point

 Sample Point



Flat Rock Creek Rd

Data Source(s): Westwood (2025); U.S. Census Bureau (2024); NAIP (2024).



Map Document: N:\0052789_000_GIS_ArcPro\00057789_040_WetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404\00057789_040_WetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404.aprx 4/9/2025 12:57 PM LT/Ilmari






Flat Rock BESS Project

Kendall County, Texas



Project Location & USGS
Topography

Exhibit 1

Legend

-  Project Area
-  State Boundary
-  County Boundary
-  Original Texas Land Survey Boundary
-  Road

Data Source(s): Westwood (2025); OTLS (2024); U.S. Census Bureau (2024); ESRI WMS USA Topo & World Streets Basemaps (Accessed 2025).



Map Document: N:\00527789_001_GIS_AcProj\00527789_040_VetlandExhibits\Photologs_20250404.aprx 4/9/2025 11:38 AM L.Tillman