APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): A.

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWF-2021-00392 В.

PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: С.

State:Texas County/parish/borough: Tarrant County City: Grapevine Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.899006° N. Long. -97.086038° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Big Bear Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: West Fork Trinity River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Mountain Creek-West Fork Trinity River (HUC10: 1203010501)

 \boxtimes Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/

Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/2021

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: Total of ES-1, ES-1a, ES-1b, ES-1c, ES-1e, ES-1f: 3,363 linear feet; IS-1: 270 linear feet; PS-1: 779 linear feet: 1 to 4 width (ft) and/or total of ES-1, ES-1a, ES-1b, ES-1c, ES-1e, ES-1f: 0.25 acres; IS-1: 0.01 acre; PS-1: 0.64 acres.

Wetlands: FW-1: 0.03 acres, EW-1: 0.07 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ 2

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 114,876 acres Drainage area: N/A Pick List Average annual rainfall: 38 inches Average annual snowfall: 0.7 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW5: ES-1 and associated streams ES-1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, 1f, and IS-1 convey precipitation runoff to the Big Bear Creek (PS-1). PS-1 is perennial in nature and meets the definition of a RPW. PS-1 conveys flow to Bear Creek (RPW), which eventually outfalls to the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known:

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is:

Х	Natural
_	

Artificial (man-made). Explain:

Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The channel substrate of ES-1 and its associated ephemeral tributaries were observed with angular pieces of refuse concrete and weathered regolith that is not native to the study area. The presence of regolith and refuse concrete is likely the result of developments north of the study area.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: ES-1 and ES-1a through ES-1f: 1-4 feet, IS-1: 3 feet, PS-1: 25-50 feet Average depth: ES-1 and ES-1a through ES-1f: 1-2 feet, IS-1: 1 foot, PS-1: 15 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts	⊠ Sands	🛛 Concr
Cobbles	Gravel	Muck
Bedrock	Vegetation. Type/% cover:	

🛛 Other. Explain: Cobbles and gravel largely consist of non-native weathered regolith that was likely introduced by developments north of the study area.

Concrete

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Throughout the majority of ES-1 and its associated tributaries (ES-1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, 1f) stable banks were observed with the exception of reaches within the floodplain down gradient of Open Water (OW)-1, which is an on-channel impoundment of ES-1. Please refer to the attached Figure AJD -3.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Riffle and pool sequences were observed within ES-1; however these sequences were small and infrequent. Furthermore, much of the riffle/pool sequences were observed down gradient from OW-1.

Tributary geometry: Relatively straight

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 5-10 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Ephemeral flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20

Describe flow regime: ES-1 and associated streams ES-1a through ES-1f are ephemeral in nature and flow. IS-1 exhibits seasonal flow within a short reach of stream channel that is the result of a seep from below the dam of OW-1. Big Bear Creek (PS-1) is a perennial tributary with flowing water throughout the year. Conclusions on flow regimes are based on the field visit performed on 09/10/2021 and documentation of multiple field visits performed by Halff Associates, Inc. The multiple field investigations by Halff Associates, Inc. were performed in the wet season, dry season, during drier than normal conditions, during wetter than normal conditions, and within 48-hours of a precipitation event. Multiple field investigations under different hydrological conditions were performed to accurately determine if the tributaries are RPWs or non-RPWs.

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Surface flow is restricted to the OHWM limits of ES-1, ES-1a, ES-1b, ES-1c, ES-1e, ES-1f, IS-1, and PS-1.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .	,	
Dve (or other) test performed		
\square <i>Bye</i> (of other) test performed.		
Tributary has (check all that apply):		
\boxtimes Bed and banks		
\square OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):		
\square alogn natural line improved on the bank	\square	the masses of litter and debuic
clear, natural line impressed on the bank	\square	the presence of fitter and debris
changes in the character of soil	\boxtimes	destruction of terrestrial vegetation
Shelving		the presence of wrack line
vegetation matted down, bent, or absent		sediment sorting
leaf litter disturbed or washed away	\boxtimes	scour
sediment deposition	\boxtimes	multiple observed or predicted flow events
water staining		abrupt change in plant community
other (list):		
_ ()		

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

- High Tide Line indicated by:
 - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
 - physical markings/characteristics
 - tidal gauges
 - other (list):

physical markings;

survey to available datum;

vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: The majority of the stream ES-1 and its smaller tributaries (ES-1a through ES-1f) were observed with minimal surface water, where surface water was present it appeared clear with minor oil film. The short segment of Intermittent Stream (IS)-1 was observed originating from a dam seep of the on-channel impoundment OW-1. This segment was observed with darker stained water, oily film, and iron reducing bacteria. These characteristics of IS-1are likely the result of an increased concentration of decomposing organic matter originating from OW-1. The watershed throughout the study area is undeveloped, headwater areas of the ES-1 drainage network located north of the study area are in comparison fully commercially developed. Stream ES-1 was observed originating from a culvert underneath a parking lot associated with commercial development north of the study area.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: N/A.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 5 feet wide forested riparian. The riparian corridor associated with ES-1 and associated streams (IS-1, ES-1a through ES-1f) are largely confined in steep narrow banks of the stream channels and restricted to narrow margins immediately outside of the OHWM limits. The riparian corridor differed from surrounding upland areas only slightly, with minimal differences observed in vegetation assemblages between the narrow riparian corridor and upland areas.

- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW 2.

Physical Characteristics: (i)

FW-1.

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size: FW-1: 0.03 acres, EW-1: 0.07 acres

Wetland type. Explain: EW-1 is an abuting emergent wetland to ES-1 and the impoundment OW-1. FW-1 is an abuting forested wetland to ES-1.

Wetland quality. Explain: Wetlands are both decent quality. EW-1 supported higher vegetation biodiversity then

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

- (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain:
 - Surface flow is: Confined

Characteristics: Surface flow is confined to the OHWM limits of the channels.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are 15-20 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: N/A.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: FW-1: Forested/60% cover, EW-1: Emergent herbaceous/90% cover . Habitat for:
 - - E Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) 3.

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2 Approximately (0.10) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
EW-1: Y	0.07	FW-1: Y	0.03

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain
 findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: ES-1a through
 ES-1f: These features are all first order headwater streams that were observed with a discernible OHWM connection to the larger
 ephemeral stream ES-1. ES-1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, and 1f were observed with no associated abuting wetland features. These streams
 function to convey stomwater runoff in direct response to recent precipitation events to ES-1 and its on-channel impoundment
 OW-1. As ephemeral headwater streams they function to capture/convey localized surface runoff from higher elevations within the
 watershed to Big Bear Creek (PS-1) which eventually reaches the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). These functions demonstrate
 that ES-1a, 1b, 1c, 1e, and 1f have more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: ES-1 is a non-RPW that conveys ephemeral flow to PS-1 which flows directly through Big Bear Creek to the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). ES-1 like its associated tributaries (ES-1a through ES-1f) functions to capture/convey localized surface runoff from higher elevations within the watershed to Big Bear Creek (PS-1) which eventually reaches the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). This function demonstrates that ES-1 has more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Wetlands that abut ES-1 include FW-1 and EW-1, which both serve to convey/capture surface water runoff and also sequester pollutants through physical/chemical/biological processes from reaching an eventual TNW. As such, EW-1 and FW-1 have more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW.
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: A seep was observed below the dam of OW-1 which is an on-channel impoundment of ES-1. The seep provides seasonal flow to IS-1 which maintains an intermittent condition for approximately 160 linear feet from the dam of OW-1. IS-1 is considered a RPW that conveys seasonal flow to ES-1 down gradient of OW-1. Big Bear Creek (PS-1) is a perennial tributary to Bear Creek, which is a perennial tributary to the West Fork Trinity River. Flowing water was observed within PS-1 during multiple field investigations within the site by Halff Associates, Inc. The multiple field investigations were performed in and out of the wet season, during drier than normal conditions, during wetter than normal conditions, and recently flowing precipitation events. It can be concluded that PS-1 flows continuously throughout the year and should be classified as a perennial tributary of the West Fork Trinity River .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: IS-1: 159 linear feet, PS-1: 779 linear feet IS-1: 3 ft, PS-1: 25 50 ft width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. <u>Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: Total for ES-1 and ES-1a through ES-1f: 3,363 linear feet 1 to 4 width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: **OW-1: 0.58** acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters: **OW-1** is an on-channel impoundment of ES-1.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: FW-1 (0.03 acre) and EW-1 (0.07 acre): 0.10 acres.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
 - Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
 - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
 - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.*

- Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Grapevine, TX (1:24,000). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Tarrant County. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): \boxtimes FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Nearmap, 02/24/2021. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify): Field review of Jurisidictional Waters Report prepared by Halff, Inc. Representatives of Halff, Inc., USACE, and the landowner were in attendance.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

PS-1 (Big Bear Creek):

PS-1 is a RPW that conveys flow to Bear Creek. Bear Creek is a RPW that outfalls into the West Fork Trinity River, a TNW. As a RPW that conveys flow directly to a TNW, PS-1 meets the definition of a water of the United States.

ES-1:

Within the eastern portion of the study area (see Figure AJD-3) a drainage network was observed originating from a culvert located underneath a commercial development. The entire reach of ES-1 within the study area was reviewed during the field visit on 09/10/2020 by representatives of Halff Associates, Inc. and the USACE, along with the property owner. By reviewing the entire reach of ES-1 and observing the physical condition of the stream, it was agreed by the USACE that ES-1 is ephemeral in nature. As such, ES-1 is a non-RPW tributary to PS-1, a water of the United States. ES-1, as a headwater tributary within the West Fork Trinity River (TNW) watershed, exhibits a discemable OHWM connection to PS-1, which is a relatively permanent tributary to the West Fork Trinity River. Through this connection ES-1 functions to capture/convey localized surface runoff from higher elevations within the watershed to PS-1 which eventually reaches the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). These functions demonstrate that the ES-1 has more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Therefore, ES-1 meets the definition of a water of the United States.

OW-1:

The impoundment OW-1 was observed in the central portion of the study area. OW-1 is an on-channel impoundment of ES-1. ES-1 as previously stated meets the definition of a water of the United States. Therefore, as an on-channel impoundment of a water of the United States, OW-1 meets the definition of a water of the United States.

IS-1:

The intermittent tributary, IS-1, was observed originating from the dam of OW-1. This segment of stream appears to be the remnant channel of ES-1 prior to the construction of OW-1 and the re-routing of ES-1 to its current location (See Attached Figure AJD-3). Post construction of OW-1, ES-1 appears to have been re-routed to a pond overflow located in the southeast comer of OW-1. Although originally classified as a portion of ES-1 in the delineation provided by Halff Associates, Inc., it was concluded that IS-1 is intermittent in nature and provides seasonal flow to ES-1. The seasonal flow of IS-1 is the result of a seep in the dam of OW-1. Although IS-1 is similar in physical condition to ephemeral streams in the study area, the continuous slow seep from the dam of OW-1 provides minute seasonal flow through this short reach of stream. As an intermittent tributary to ES-1, IS-1 is a RPW that flows indirectly through ES-1 to PS-1 which conveys flow to Bear Creek (RPW) and eventually to the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). As an RPW that conveys flow indirectly to a TNW, the stream IS-1 meets the definition of a water of the United States.

ES-1a, ES-1b, ES-1c, ES-1e, ES-1f:

ES-1a through ES-1f were observed as non-RPW tributaries of the stream ES-1, previously determined to be a water of the United States. All of these ephemeral stream were observed with a discernible OHWM connection to ES-1. Like ES-1 the features ES-1a through ES-1f capture/convey localized surface runoff from higher elevations within the watershed to Big Bear Creek (RPW) and eventually to the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). These functions demonstrate that the ES-1a through ES-1f have more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Therefore, ES-1a, ES-1b, ES-1c, ES-1e, ES-1f all meet the definition of waters of the United States.

EW-1 and FW-1:

FW-1 was observed as an abutting wetland to ES-1, a water of the United States. This feature is located in the northern most reaches of ES-1 and is the result of occasional high flow events of ES-1 in direct response to precipitation events. EW-1 was observed at the outfall of ES-1 into OW-1. EW-1 directly abuts both ES-1 and OW-1. ES-1 and OW-1 both meet the definition of waters of the United States. As abutting wetlands to ES-1, a water of the United States, the wetlands EW-1 and FW-1 also meet the definition of waters of the United States.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWF-2021-00392 В.

PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: С.

State:Texas County/parish/borough: Tarrant County City: Grapevine Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.899006° N. Long. -97.086038° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Big Bear Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: West Fork Trinity River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Mountain Creek-West Fork Trinity River (HUC10: 1203010501)

 \boxtimes Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/

Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/2021

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters $\overline{\boxtimes}$
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters:	linear feet:	width (ft) and/or	acres.
Wetlands: acre	S.		

- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The features identified in the attached Figure AJD-3 show multiple isolated excavated shallow closed contour depressions. The majority of the features were classified as open water (OW) habitat, with one pit that was completely dry and classified as an isolated emergent wetland (EW). These features include: OW-2, OW-3, OW-4, OW-5, OW-6, OW-7, OW-8, OW-9, and EW-2. A single ephemeral stream (ES-2) was observed directly flowing into OW-8, neither of which exhibit a direct or indirect hydrological connection to larger drainage networks within the study area.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:	Pick List
Drainage area:	Pick List
Average annual rain	fall: inches
Average annual snow	wfall: inche

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary stream order, if known: .

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: 🔲 Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List
	Average side slopes. Tick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Cobbles Gravel Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: .
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:
	Tributary geometry: Pick List
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime:
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List . Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
	clear, natural line impressed on the bank is the presence of litter and debris
	shelving the presence of wrack line
	vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting
	leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour
	sediment deposition I multiple observed or predicted flow events
	dther (list):
	\square Discontinuous OHWM ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
	i oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;
	 fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):
(iii) Cha	mical Characteristics
unj Une	

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics.** Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: . Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. <u>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</u>
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:
 - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹
 - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
 - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
 - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers,	streams):	linear feet	width (ft).
Lakes/ponds: acres.			
Other non-wetland waters:	acres. List type	e of aquatic resou	irce: .
Wetlands: acres.			

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): ES-2: 150 linear feet, 2 width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.

- Other non-wetland waters: Total for OW-2 through OW-9: 0.45 acres. List type of aquatic resource: Isolated excavated
- agricultural stock ponds.
- Wetlands: $E\hat{W}$ -2: 0.01 acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: \square Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Grapevine, TX (1:24,000). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Tarrant County, TX. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: Tarrant County. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Nearmap, 02/24/2021. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
 - Applicable/supporting case law:
 - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
 - Other information (please specify): Field review of Jurisidictional Waters Report prepared by Halff, Inc. Representatives of Halff,
 - Inc., USACE, and the landowner were in attendance.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Features OW-2, OW-3, OW-4, OW-5, OW-6, OW-7, OW-8, OW-9, and EW-3 were artificially created (excavated) aquatic features located outside of any mapped floodplain. These features are located from 500 to 1,500 straight line feet from the nearest RPW (Big Bear Creek [PS-1]). Please refer to the attached Figures for the location of isolated non-jurisdictional aquatic feature in the study area. These isolated features do not exhibit a direct or indirect surface connection to a water of the United States. These features were excavated within an upland and from the review of historical/recent aerial imagery, are not the result of a relocated tributary or excavated within a historical water of the United States. These excavated pits function to temporarily store direct precipitation and were constructed solely to serve as agricultural stock ponds.

The ephemeral stream, ES-2, was observed within an upland and terminating into OW-8. The feature ES-2 serves only to convey localized surface runoff to OW-8 which impounds and temporarily stores water for an agricultural purpose (stock watering). The stream ES-2 lacks sufficient evidence to establish a significant nexus to an RPW or TNW

The features previously discussed are not used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreation or other purposes, is not used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce, and is not used to take fish or shellfish sold in interstate or foreign commerce. As such, it was determined that these features lack a significant nexus to a TNW and have no effect on interstate or foreign commerce. Therefore, it was concluded that the features OW-2, OW-3, OW-4, OW-5, OW-6, OW-7, OW-8, OW-9, EW-2, and ES-2 are isolated aquatic features and are not waters of the United States.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SWF-2021-00392 В.

PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: С.

State:Texas County/parish/borough: Tarrant County City: Grapevine Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.899006° N. Long. -97.086038° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Big Bear Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: West Fork Trinity River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Mountain Creek-West Fork Trinity River (HUC10: 1203010501)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/

Field Determination. Date(s): 09/10/2021

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: ES-3: 940 linear feet: 2 width (ft) and/or 0.06 acres. Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) General Area Conditions:
 - Watershed size:114,876 acresDrainage area:Pick ListAverage annual rainfall:38 inchesAverage annual snowfall:0.7 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

 □ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 □ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: ES-3 to Big Bear Creek (RPW) - Big Bear Creek to Bear Creek (RPW) - Bear Creek to West Fork Trinity River (TNW).

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known:

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

M	Natural	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 \ E

Artificial (man-made). Explain: .
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Livestock Disturbance, increased drainage from

commercial development north of the site.

Tributary is:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: 2 fee	t			
Average depth: 0.5 fe	eet			
Average side slopes:	Vertical	(1:1	or	less).

	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
	Silts Sands Concrete
	Cobbles Gravel Muck
	Bedrock Xvgetation. Type/% cover: herbaceous, 30% cover
	Other, Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Highly eroding from livestock disturbance.
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: N/A.
	Tributary geometry: Relatively straight
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2 %
(c)	<u>Flow:</u>
	Tributary provides for: Ephemeral flow
	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 11-20
	Describe flow regime: Ephemeral flow being conveyed from commercial developments north of site.
	Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow . Characteristics: Ephemeral Stream (ES)-3 shown in the attached Figure AJD-2
was observed	with a discontinuous OHWM throughout the entire stream reach within the study area. In the portions of the stream
lacking a defi	ned OHWM, drainage is conveyed down gradient via overland sheetflow in direct response to precipitation events.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Dye (or other) test performed:
	Twiky togethese (shear all that any ly).
	Dad are kereka
	\square bed and banks
	Given where the indicators that apply):
	chear, nautar fine impressed of the bank \subseteq the presence of inter and debits
	chally in the character of son
	where the sector is the sector of the sector is the sector of the sector is the sector
	I leaf litter disturbed or washed away
	Sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events
	water staining abrunt change in plant community

water staming

other (list):

other (list):

Discontinuous OHWM.7 Explain: Due to disturbances from livestock, portions of the feature ES-3 has been filled by sediment or made deeper from the continuous disturbance of livestock utilizing the stream as a travel corridor. In areas where the OHWM is not present the feature experiences overland sheetflow until the OHWM has been re-established.

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum;
 physical markings;
 vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: No surface water present. Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iv) **Biological Characteristics.** Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) <u>Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:</u>

- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
 - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
 - Ecological connection. Explain:
 - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: . Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: The stream ES-3 seen in the attached Figure AJD-2 conveys localized stormwater runoff from western portions of the study area and commercial developments located to the north. This stream serves to convey runoff from higher elevations within the watershed to Big Bear Creek (RPW), which flows directly into Bear Creek (RPW), which flows directly into Bear Creek (RPW), which in turn flows directly into West Fork Trinity River (TNW). The stream ES-1 has the capacity to carry/reduce the amount of pollutants and transfer nutrients from upland areas to the West Fork Trinity River .
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

Tributaries of T	ΓNW where tributaries h	nave continuous flow "	seasonally" (e.	g., typically three months	each year) are
jurisdictional.	Data supporting this co	onclusion is provided at	Section III.B.	Provide rationale indicat	ing that tributary flows
seasonally:					

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). acres.

Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

- Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 3
 - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

.

- Tributary waters: ES-3: 940 linear feet: 2 width (ft).
 - Other non-wetland waters:

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	 from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
А.	 SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Grapevine, TX (1:24,000). USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Tarrant County. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: Tarrant County. 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Nearmap, 02/24/2021.
	or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):Field review of Jurisidictional Waters Report prepared by Halff, Inc. Representatives of Halff, Inc., USACE, and the landowner were in attendance.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

As seen in the attached Figure AJD-2 the feature ES-3 is a headwater ephemeral stream that flows indirectly to the West Fork Trinity River. The feature ES-3 is a first order stream that originates from a culvert underneath a parking lot north of the study area. ES-3, as a headwater tributary within the West Fork Trinity River (TNW) watershed, exhibits a discemable connection (recent/historical aerial imagery interpretation) to Big Bear Creek a relatively permanent tributary to the West Fork Trinity River. Through this connection ES-3 functions to capture/convey localized surface runoff from higher elevations within the watershed to Big Bear Creek (RPW) which eventually reaches the West Fork Trinity River (TNW). These functions demonstrate that the ES-3 has more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical, and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Therefore, ES-3 meets the definition of a water of the United States.