

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

* PROJECT AUTHORITY	1-1
THE AUTHORIZED PLAN	1-1
PARTICIPANTS AND COORDINATION	1-1
* STUDY PURPOSE AND NEED	1-2
PRIOR STUDIES AND REPORTS	1-2
CORPS OF ENGINEERS STUDIES AND REPORTS	1-5
OTHER STUDIES AND REPORTS	1-7
* NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT REQUIREMENTS	1-9

CHAPTER 2 DESCRIPTIVE OVERVIEW

TRINITY RIVER WATERSHED	2-1
THE CITY OF DALLAS	2-2
* STUDY AREA	2-2
CLIMATOLOGY	2-2
BASIN PHYSIOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY	2-9
STUDY AREA PHYSIOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY	2-10
EXISTING DALLAS FLOODWAY LEVEES	2-10
EXISTING ROCHESTER PARK LEVEE	2-11
EXISTING CENTRAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT LEVEE	2-11
EXISTING SLEEPY HOLLOW COUNTRY CLUB LEVEE	2-12
* ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	2-12
GENERAL	2-12
AIR QUALITY	2-12
WATER QUALITY	2-15
VEGETATIVE COVER	2-16
General	2-16
Bottomland Vegetation	2-19
Wetland Vegetation	2-19
Grasslands	2-19
FISH AND WILDLIFE RESOURCES	2-19
Fish (Aquatic) Resources	2-19
Wildlife Resources	2-20
THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES	2-20
* CULTURAL RESOURCES	2-20
* HAZARDOUS, TOXIC AND RADIOLOGICAL WASTE (HTRW)	2-22
* SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	2-23
* RECREATIONAL RESOURCES	2-24
REGIONAL RESOURCES	2-24
LOCAL RESOURCES	2-27
RECREATION ON THE TRINITY RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	2-27
TRINITY RIVER STATE PARK	2-28
LAND USE	2-29
MAJOR TRANSPORTATION ARTERIALS	2-29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
LANDS IN PUBLIC OWNERSHIP	2-29
LANDFILLS	2-29
INTERRELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PROPOSED ACTIONS	2-29

CHAPTER 3 IDENTIFICATION OF PROBLEMS AND NEEDS

* IDENTIFICATION OF FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION NEEDS	3-1
HISTORICAL FLOOD DATA	3-1
EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSES	3-2
General	3-2
1991-1993	3-2
Hydrology	3-2
Hydraulics	3-3
Economics	3-3
1994-1996	3-8
Hydrology and Hydraulics	3-8
Economics	3-9
1996-1997	3-10
Hydrology and Hydraulics	3-10
Economics	3-10
* IDENTIFICATION OF RECREATIONAL NEEDS	3-13
* IDENTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL NEEDS	3-19

CHAPTER 4 PLAN FORMULATION

* PLANNING OBJECTIVES	4-1
PLANNING CONSTRAINTS	4-2
* FORMULATION AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	4-2
TECHNICAL CRITERIA	4-2
ECONOMIC CRITERIA	4-2
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CRITERIA	4-3
* IDENTIFICATION OF THE NED PLAN	4-4
INITIAL SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES	4-4
Investigated Non-Structural Alternatives	4-4
No Action Plan	4-4
Floodplain Management	4-4
Flood Warning	4-5
Flood Proofing	4-5
Raising Structures In-Place	4-5
Relocation	4-5
Permanent Evacuation	4-6
Benefit Methodology	4-6
Analysis Results - Individual Structure Evacuation	4-6
Investigated Structural Alternatives	4-8
Channel Plans Investigated	4-8
Levee Plans Investigated	4-13
Vegetation Management Plan Investigated	4-13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Swale Plans Investigated	4-14
Recreation Plan Investigated	4-21
Summary of Initial Alternatives	4-21
IN-PROGRESS REVIEW MEETING	4-22
FINAL ANALYSIS OF NED PLAN	4-22
Key Revisions and Assumptions	4-22
Investigated Structural Alternatives	4-27
Revised Swale Plans Investigated	4-27
NED Plan Determination	4-28
* SELECTION OF THE LOCALLY PREFERRED PLAN	4-35
NON-STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVE	4-35
CHAIN OF WETLANDS	4-36
Swale	4-36
Initial Alignment	4-36
Revised Alignment	4-36
Environmental Restoration (Wetlands)	4-37
Cost Effectiveness and Incremental Analysis	4-38
Cost Effectiveness of Emergent Wetland Restoration	4-38
Incremental Analysis of Emergent Wetlands by Cell	4-41
Summary - Environmental Restoration Plan	4-41
Summary	4-42
CHAIN OF WETLANDS PLUS LEVEES	4-43
Lamar Levee	4-43
Initial Alignment	4-43
Secondary (Couplet) Alignment	4-43
Final Alignment	4-43
Summary	4-44
Cadillac Heights Levee	4-44
New Levee - Eastern Alignment	4-44
New Levee - Western Alignment	4-44
Western - Earthen Option	4-44
Western - Floodwall Option	4-44
Western - Earthen/Floodwall Option	4-49
CWWTP Levee Tie-In	4-49
Short Option	4-49
Long Option	4-49
Summary	4-49
Interior Drainage	4-49
Summary	4-50
Summary	4-51
FINAL ANALYSIS OF THE LOCALLY PREFERRED PLAN	4-51
Impacts of WRDA 1996	4-51
Central Wastewater Treatment Plant Levee	4-52
Rochester Park Levee	4-52
Summary	4-59
FORMULATION OF THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	4-63
IDENTIFICATION OF THE TENTATIVE FEDERALLY SUPPORTABLE PLAN	4-63
Summary	4-64
CHANNEL REALIGNMENT PROPOSAL AT IH-45 BRIDGE	4-69
Alternatives for IH-45 Proposal	4-70
Economic Analysis of IH-45 Proposal	4-70
Summary of IH-45 Proposal	4-71

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
* FINAL ARRAY OF ALTERNATIVES	4-72
Combination Non-Structural / Structural Plan	4-72
* ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES	4-74
Overview	4-74
Emergent Wetlands	4-74
Aquatic Resources	4-74
Forested Areas	4-76
Water Quality	4-77
Aquatic Habitat, Aquatic Invertebrates, and Fisheries	4-78
Micro-Climate Effects	4-80
Air Quality	4-81
Bottomland Hardwood Forests	4-82
Fish and Wildlife Habitat	4-85
Forest Mitigation Plan	4-86
Impacts to Threatened and Endangered Species	4-88
Geology and Soils	4-88
Cultural Resources	4-89
Transportation Impacts	4-89
Land Use Impacts	4-90
Noise Impacts	4-91
Visual Impacts	4-93
Utility Impacts	4-93
Hazardous, Toxic and Radiological Waste (HTRW) Impacts	4-93
Disposal Impacts	4-94
* ECONOMIC ANALYSIS FOR FINAL ARRAY OF ALTERNATIVES	4-94
SUMMARY	4-97

CHAPTER 5 SELECTION OF RECOMMENDED PLAN

OPTIMIZATION OF THE LAMAR AND CADILLAC HEIGHTS LEVEES	5-1
CADILLAC HEIGHTS LEVEE	5-1
Height Limitations	5-1
Inelastic Levee Costs	5-2
Benefit Analysis	5-2
Conclusion	5-2
LAMAR LEVEE	5-5
Costs of a Lower Levee	5-5
Benefit Analysis	5-5
Conclusion	5-5
CONFIRMATION OF INCREMENTAL JUSTIFICATION	5-6
BASIS FOR REQUEST FOR EXCEPTION	5-9
ECONOMIC COMPARISON OF PLANS	5-9
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE TENTATIVE FEDERALLY SUPPORTABLE PLAN AND THE LPP	5-10
OTHER SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	5-13
ASA(CW) DECISION REGARDING REQUEST FOR EXCEPTION	5-14
FORMAL SUBMITTAL OF REQUEST FOR EXCEPTION	5-14
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	5-16
FINAL IDENTIFICATION OF FEDERALLY SUPPORTABLE PLAN	5-20
IDENTIFICATION OF THE RECOMMENDED PLAN	5-20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
CHAPTER 6	
RECOMMENDED PLAN	
PLAN FEATURES	6-1
CHAIN OF WETLANDS AND CHANNEL REALIGNMENT AT IH-45	6-1
Channel Realignment at IH-45 Bridge	6-2
Summary	6-7
LAMAR LEVEE	6-7
CADILLAC HEIGHTS LEVEE	6-8
INTERIOR DRAINAGE - SUMP AREAS	6-9
RECREATION AMENITIES	6-11
Trails and Access Points	6-11
Structures	6-11
OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, REPLACEMENT AND REHABILITATION	6-11
* ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE	6-13
EXECUTIVE ORDER 11988 - FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT	6-13
SECTION 404 CLEAN WATER ACT	6-13
SECTIONS 9 AND 10 RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT	6-13
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	6-14
* CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	6-15
LAND USE	6-15
CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES	6-16
NOISE	6-16
CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY	6-16
HYDROLOGY AND WATER RESOURCES	6-17
ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES	6-17
* ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	6-17
RECREATION BENEFITS	6-17
COST ANALYSIS	6-18
Project First Cost	6-18
Annualized Cost	6-18
ECONOMIC SUMMARY	6-19
PROJECT COST SHARING	6-21
FLOOD CONTROL	6-21
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION	6-22
RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	6-22
DIVISION OF PLAN RESPONSIBILITIES	6-22
COST APPORTIONMENT	6-22
NON-FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITIES	6-25
* PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT	6-27
PURPOSE OF PROGRAM	6-27
PARTICIPANTS	6-27
PUBLIC WORKSHOPS	6-27
FINANCIAL ANALYSIS	6-30
SOCIO-ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	6-30
FINANCIAL CAPABILITY	6-30
NON-FEDERAL FINANCIAL PLANNING	6-33
ABILITY-TO-PAY ANALYSIS	6-33

Revised: 13 August 1999

**CHAPTER 7
DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

DISCUSSIONS	7-1
CONCLUSIONS	7-2
RECOMMENDATIONS	7-3
LIST OF PREPARERS	7-7
INDEX	7-9

TABLES

<u>TABLE NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1-1	Studies and Reports by Non-Federal Agencies	1-9
2-1	Climatological Statistics for Dallas, Texas	2-9
2-2	Air Pollution Removal Rates By Trees	2-15
2-3	Types of Vegetative/Land Cover Within the Great Trinity Forest	2-16
2-4	Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species	2-20
2-5	Comparative Socio-Economic Data - Cadillac Heights vs. City of Dallas	2-24
2-6	Recreational Resources Within the Study Area	2-28
3-1	Significant Flood Events and Peak Discharges	3-1
3-2	Major Damage Categories	3-4
3-3	Expected Average Annual Damages	3-8
3-4	Revised Expected Average Annual Damages	3-9
3-5	Total Floodplain Investments by Reach	3-11
3-6	Expected Annual Damages Under Existing Conditions (Pre-1991)	3-13
3-7	Projected Urban Outdoor Recreation Participation for Region 4	3-14
3-8	Additional Urban Outdoor Recreation Facilities/Resources Needed in Region 4	3-17
3-9	Ranking of Outdoor Recreation Facility/Resource Needs	3-18
4-1	Economic Analysis of Individual Structure Evacuation Plan	4-8
4-2	Summary of Channel Alternatives	4-8
4-3	Summary of Levee Alternatives	4-13
4-4	Summary of Swale Alternatives	4-14
4-5	Summary of Economic Analyses of Investigated Plans 1991-1993 (Flood Control Only)	4-21
4-6	Summary of Revised Swale Alternatives	4-28
4-7	Final Array of Alternatives - NED Plan	4-28
4-8	Economic Analysis of Flood Zone Evacuation Plans	4-35
4-9	Chain of Wetlands Habitat Evaluation,	4-39
	with Water Supply not Available for Management	
4-10	Chain of Wetlands Habitat Evaluation,	4-40
	with Water Supply Available for Management	
4-11	Incremental Analysis of Environmental Restoration Plan	4-41
4-12	Benefit Cost Analysis for the CWWTP Levee Upgrade	4-52
4-13	Benefit Cost Analysis for the Lamar Levee System	4-53
	(Including the Compatible Portion of Rochester Park Levee)	
4-14	Costs of Locally Preferred Plan Alternatives	4-55
4-15	Annual Residual Damages and Benefits of LPP Alternatives	4-56
4-16	Economic Analysis of LPP Alternatives	4-57
4-17	Cost Apportionment Data For LPP Alternatives	4-60

TABLES

<u>TABLE NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4-18	Incremental Analysis of the LPP - Flood Control Only	4-65
4-19	Incremental Analysis of the 100-Year Cadillac Heights Levee - Flood Control Only ...	4-66
4-20	Economic Analysis of IH-45 Proposal	4-71
4-21	Economic Analysis of Non-Structural Increment in Final Array of Alternatives	4-73
4-22	Extent of Plan Compliance with Environmental Requirements	4-75
4-23	Comparative Impacts of Alternatives	4-76
4-24	Annual Removal Rates of Regulated Air Pollutants By Trees	4-83
4-25	Bottomland Hardwood Forest Impact Analysis	4-84
4-26	Impacts to Significant Resources	4-86
4-27	Incremental Mitigation Analysis - USFWS Plan	4-87
4-28	Required Mitigation by Alternative	4-88
4-29	Economic Analysis of Final Array of Alternatives - Flood Control Only	4-95
5-1	Cadillac Heights Levee Incremental Costs and Benefits for Various Heights	5-2
5-2	Lamar Street Levee Incremental Costs and Benefits for Various Heights	5-5
5-3	Computation of Interest During Construction For Incremental Analysis	5-6
5-4	Incremental Analysis of the TFSP and LPP - Flood Control Only	5-11
5-5	Benefit-Cost Comparison of Tentative Federally Supportable Plan and LPP - Flood Control Only	5-13
5-6	Comparative Cost Apportionment Data in Request for Exception	5-15
5-7	Flow Capacity and Level of Protection for Various Scenarios	5-17
5-8	Levels of Confidence for Levees	5-18
5-9	Comparative Socio-Economic Data - Cadillac Heights vs. City of Dallas	5-19
6-1	Analysis of Environmental Restoration Features	6-7
6-2	Cumulative Residual Single-Event and Annualized Damages for Lamar Levee Sumps	6-10
6-3	Breakdown of OMRR&R Costs	6-12
6-4	Dallas Floodway Extension Recreation Benefits Unit Day Value Method	6-18
6-5	Cost Estimate Summary for the Recommended Plan	6-19
6-6	Economic Summary of the Recommended Plan	6-20
6-6a	Economic Analysis of Separate Flood Control and Recreation Purposes	6-21
6-7	Project Costs for the Recommended Plan	6-23
6-8	Cost Apportionment Calculations for the Recommended Plan	6-24
6-9	Cost Apportionment Data for the Recommended Plan	6-25
6-9a	Remaining Federal / Non-Federal Costs for the Recommended Plan	6-25
6-10	Current Community Financial Indicator Values For The City Of Dallas	6-31
6-11	Summary of Financial Capability Dallas Floodway Extension Dallas, Texas, General Evaluation	6-32
7-1	Dallas Floodway Extension List of Preparers	7-7

FIGURES

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1-1	Authorized Plan	1-3
2-1	Water Resources Projects	2-3
2-2	Upper Trinity River Basin	2-5
2-3	Study Area	2-7

Revised: 13 August 1999

FIGURES

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
2-4	Rochester Park and CWWTP Levees	2-13
2-5	Vegetative Cover Map	2-17
2-6	Region 4 - TORP	2-25
3-1	Economic Reach Map	3-5
3-2	Most Popular Outdoor Recreation Activities	3-15
4-1	Channel Plans Investigated	4-9
4-2	Typical Channel Section	4-11
4-3	Levee Plans Investigated	4-15
4-4	Swale Plans Investigated	4-17
4-5	Typical Swale Section	4-19
4-6	1,200-Foot Swale (NED) Plan	4-23
4-7	Optimization Curve	4-25
4-8	Revised Swale Alternatives	4-29
4-9	Chain of Wetlands	4-31
4-10	Chain of Wetlands and SPF Levees	4-33
4-11	Lamar Levee Alternatives	4-45
4-12	Cadillac Heights Levees Alternatives	4-47
4-13	Locally Preferred Plan	4-61
4-14	Tentative Federally Supportable Plan	4-67
5-1	Optimization Curve - Cadillac Heights Levee	5-3
5-2	Optimization Curve - Lamar Levee	5-7
6-1	Recommended Plan	6-3
6-2	Recommended Plan with Recreation	6-5

APPENDICES

APPENDIX

*A	Hydrology and Hydraulics
*B	Geotechnical Engineering
*C	Civil/Structural Design and Relocations
*D	Economics
*E	Real Estate
*F	Environmental Resources (Including Section 404(b)(1) Evaluation)
*G	USFWS Coordination Act Report
*H	Cultural Resources
*I	Recreation
*J	Hazardous, Toxic and Radiological Waste (HTRW)
*K	Cost Estimating
*L	Correspondence
*M	Supplemental Data
*N	Public and Agency Review Comments and Responses

Revised: 13 August 1999